

THE EXECUTION EDGE

EDITION 01 · JULY 2026 · KUMARESH BHUYAN

The Execution Illusion.

Why brilliant strategies die in the handoffs.

Six breakdowns that quietly disconnect strategy from delivery,
and the flywheel that reconnects them.

KB

Kumaresh Bhuyan

Programme & Delivery Leadership · Kreeda Labs

[linkedin.com/in/kumareshbhuyan](https://www.linkedin.com/in/kumareshbhuyan)

The Execution Illusion

A strategy that looks perfectly clear in a boardroom becomes a blurry mess by the time it hits the engineering floor. Business leaders dictate outcomes, product translates them into requirements, engineering turns requirements into architecture, and delivery locks it into a timeline. At every handoff, context leaks. Assumptions creep in.

By the time the gaps surface as missed dates, scope creep, or complete rewrites, everyone points the finger at the delivery team. It's a comfortable lie. Delivery is rarely the root cause; delivery is just the stage where the upstream failures finally become visible.

We obsess over **Delivery Velocity** while completely ignoring **Decision Velocity**. The strongest engineering team in the world cannot outrun a slow decision-making system. Execution doesn't fail in one dramatic explosion. It dies a quiet death through six fundamental breakdowns.

01 · Building features instead of buying outcomes

Most initiatives kick off with a sprawling backlog, not an outcome. The feature list is obsessively detailed, yet nobody can answer: which customer problem are we solving, which business metric moves, what trade-offs are acceptable? When leadership refuses to answer, engineering answers silently, and those silent answers drive the architecture in diverging directions.

THE COST: Hertz paid Accenture \$32M for a digital overhaul, then sued when the product failed basic business needs. Two organizations spent millions without a shared, testable definition of done.

02 · The deadly illusion of a nodding room

A signed approval is not shared understanding. Take the business, product, and engineering leaders into separate rooms and ask what success looks like: you will hear three different projects. Competing priorities stay hidden until a mid-delivery trade-off forces them into the open, exactly when changing course is most expensive.

THE COST: Lidl spent seven years and €500M on an SAP migration before scrapping it. Lidl valued inventory at purchase price; SAP's core model used retail price. They customized around the conflict instead of resolving it on day one.

The 10-minute reality check: ask key stakeholders separately to describe success. If their answers diverge, you don't have alignment. You have approval.

03 · Green status reports on unmade decisions

When critical decisions sit open, engineers don't sit on their hands. They assume an answer and keep building, preserving the illusion of momentum while converting today's unmade decision into next month's rework. The project doesn't lose time the day the code is rewritten; it loses time the day the team starts coding ahead of the decision. Track Decision Velocity like you track sprints.

04 · The 'not my job' integration disaster

Every task has a proud owner, yet nobody is accountable for whether the tasks add up to the outcome.

THE COST: Healthcare.gov. Elite contractors delivered their pieces perfectly to spec, but no one owned system integration. The site collapsed on launch day under a fraction of expected traffic.

Tasks require owners. Outcomes require accountability. Confuse the two and you fail.

05 · Weaponized timelines

Early estimates are fine; the failure happens when an off-the-cuff estimate hardens into a blood-oath commitment. Once a date goes public, the question stops being 'what is a realistic plan?' and becomes 'how do we protect the date at all costs?'

THE COST: TSB Bank migrated 5M customers to hit a publicly committed date despite incomplete testing: weeks of outages, £330M gone, mass customer exodus, the CEO resigning. The date was protected. Nothing else was.

06 · Autopsy vs. evolution (the retro trap)

Everyone runs retrospectives. Almost nobody changes how the next initiative is funded, planned, or governed because of them. A lesson that doesn't change future behavior isn't learning; it's documentation. Every major delivery must produce two things: working software, and sharper organizational judgment for the next cycle.

The Execution Edge: a flywheel, not a funnel

Execution is not the dumping ground at the end of the strategy funnel. Clear outcomes shrink interpretation gaps. Shared alignment accelerates decisions. Timely decisions let engineers build with confidence. Explicit ownership forces follow-through. Reliable delivery creates evidence, and that evidence sharpens the clarity of the next cycle.

Five actions for leaders

- **Define the outcome before expanding the scope.** Document customer outcome, business outcome, success measures, and constraints before finalizing features.
- **Test alignment instead of assuming it.** Ask stakeholders to explain objectives and trade-offs independently. The gaps between their answers are your real risk register.
- **Make unresolved decisions visible.** Run a decision log with owner, due date, and cost of delay. Treat open decisions as execution risks.
- **Assign ownership to outcomes, not only tasks.** One accountable owner per major outcome, connecting work across functions.
- **Review learning, not only status.** At milestones, ask which assumptions were wrong and what changes as a result.

The 5-minute execution audit

Before your next major kickoff, have leadership and delivery score the six areas above from 1 to 5. Your total score is secondary: **your lowest score is the only one that truly matters.** A team of 10x engineers with a '1' on Outcomes will still drive the project off a cliff.

Closing thought

Good execution isn't moving faster at all costs. It's preserving clarity as strategy moves through the organization, making decisions before they become blockers, and using every delivery to improve the next one. Strategy sets direction. Technology expands what's possible. Neither creates value until

people translate them into outcomes, consistently.

The Execution Edge is a monthly newsletter on enterprise AI, engineering delivery, and turning strategy into execution. Subscribe on LinkedIn:

[linkedin.com/newsletters/the-execution-edge-7481428121737621504](https://www.linkedin.com/newsletters/the-execution-edge-7481428121737621504) · Contact:
kumaresh@kreedalabs.com